G R S 58]

Previous references
Rul 279-12 81-7 B Oct 1914

File No 276-14

1916

Serial Nos 1, 2, 4—7+ 7A, 8-9

(Mem upal)

Later references

Preserve

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 4-7, 7a, 8-9

Part B for August 1916.

SUBJECT

Representation from Mr G Narayana Rao, Amildar urging that there is no need for recovering any amount from him towards the adjustment of the cost of repairs to the Layer kattle building at Hoodurga and that the rent ordered to be recovered from him is high and a reconsideration of the order reprimanding him for his irregularities

G.O No 1075-9 dt 4 8 16

Directs the recovery of rent from Mr G Narayana Rao at the rate of Rs5/- per mensem, for the period he occupied the Layer kattle building at Hoodurga viz from the 10th April 1910 to 24th Dec 1913 both days inclusive and that the cost of extra work done to the building viz Rs 242-2-4, need not be recovered from him as laid down in para 2 of the order of the 25th October 1914 and that he should be called upon to execute a bond in favour of Government undertaking the Govt against future claims on this account

W D 1851—GPB—20,000—18-12-15

M.R. Jareer
7 9 16

GRS 11] 1914 -15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Rel)

NOTES.

File No 276/14

FILE HEADING

Adjustment of the cost of repairs to the Sayer building at Hoadurga

From

The Dy Comm, Mysore Dt-

No 857 Dated 3 5 15 Received 6 5 15

Diary No 6892	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Submits, with reference to G O N° 3376-82/Rel 279-12-6 dated 28 Oct 1914 a representation from Mr G. Narain Rao Amuldar urging that there is no need for recovering any amount from him ~~and that the~~ towards adjustment of the cost of repairs, that the rent ordered to be recovered from him high and a reconsideration of the order reprimanding him for his irregularity

NOTES AND ORDERS

The points for orders are

(1) Whether any amount has to be recovered from Mr Narain Rao towards the adjustment of the cost of ~~rep~~ improvements to the building

(2) What rent should be recovered from him for the period of his occupation of the building

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—85 000—15 6 14

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

(3) Whether a sum of Rs 132-8-0 may be taken into account in satisfaction of the rent payable by him

In regard to (1) it is submitted that there is no body to claim the amount except Mr G Narain Rao himself

(2) The rent fixed is Rs 8 Mr Naram Rao says that it is high and that he vaguely remembers that the municipal Council fixed the rent at Rs 4 or 5 per mensem & states that he will pay the rent to be fixed by the municipal ~~Council~~ Board of Hosadurga

Has Mr N Ia paid any rent (& all How long did he occupy the building

(3) Whether Rs 132-8-0 may or may not be paid to Mr Naram Rao or taken into account in satisfaction of the rent payable by him depends on the circumstance whether he had or had not authority to incur the expenditure In his letter to Mr Naram Rao Mr Chengiah states that he believes to have permitted the Amildar to put up a kitchen & a bath room pending the Subdivision question and mentions that the Amildar at the time was put up in Nagappas Chathram etc When such details he recollects, he seems to have stated that he does not recollect having spoken to the Amildar on the matter of the additional improvements to be effected to the building the records in the case ha

Information furnished in all 5 abstracts infra

Enclosure to paper under disposal

Vide para 3 of Dy Comrs letter no 337 dt 22 13 R.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—? 000—28-3-1

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 276 of 1914–15

Serial No

been returned to the Dc Chitaloor

(2) Submitted for orders

B&S 14/5 (3) The G O which is sought to be reviewed

(i) reprimands Mr Narayana Rao for Carrying out excessive repairs without proper Authority

(ii) directs that a Sum of Rs 242-2-4 Stile pending adjustment be recovered from Mr Narayana Rao, and Kept in deposit, till it is claimed by a bona-fide Contractor

(iii) and also that a rent of Rs 8 per mensem be recovered from him for the whole period of his Occupation

With reference to these 3 main points Mr Narayana has in his present representation Contends

(a) that the Censure is unmerited that he had Authority, and that Certain Statements made in the G O are inaccurate

(b) that the Sum of Rs 242-2-4 ordered to be recovered from him, and which Consists of the following items is due to nobody but himself, and therefor the order directing it

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—2 000—28-3-11

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 276 of 1914 – 15

Serial No.

recovery from him is wrong

(1) Amount in Volved in the 2d Estimate for putting up Kitchen & bath room stated to have been orally permitted by Mr Ebenezer Chetty & a moiety of which Mr Chetty is alleged to have agreed to pay 132-8-

(2) Cost of pony Stables etc put up by Mr Narayana Rao on his own authority for which he has paid from his own pocket & for which he puts forward no claim now 109-10-4

Total 242-2-4

(c) That the rent charged is excessive & that he remembers the Mt Council fixed 4 or 5 Rs & he is willing to pay the Sum or any other Sum the Council may now fix as reasonable

With reference to these three Contentions it is Submitted

(a) it is not possible to pronounce an opinion on the merits of Mr Narayana Rao's representation without reference to the original records, returned

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB— 5 000 —22- 1

(5

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

infra

to the Dy: Comr which may be sent for

(b) This requires further looking into Mean while as proposed (in the linked file), the Enforcement of the order directing the recovery of the Amount from Mr Narayana Rao may be held in abeyance

(c) the rent has been fixed on the Value of the building in accordance with the rules Contained in G. O No 291-320 dated 26th March 1908 & W.D 269-82 There is no likelihood of this portion of the order being revised But it is necessary to know how long the Annuldar occupied the building, and what is the total amount of rent he is liable to pay, and if he has already paid anything - This information is not forthcoming in the records, and should be ascertained from the Annuldar himself & Verified if necessy by reference to the Dy: Comr

Dy Secy

(4) Please do all that is needful & submit the case with a note & the file,

Asst

1/7/15

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB— 5000—2-11

G R S 12]

7641

(6

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jud File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
2	From The Deputy Commr Mysore Dt No 1000/116-15 In continuation of his letter no 85783 5-15 forwarding for orders of Govt the represen- tation of Mr. G. Narayana Rao about the recovery of the cost of repairs of the Sayerkatte at Hosadurga, Requests that the Comptroller may be asked to not to enforce the Govt order No 3376-82/Jud 279-12-6 d 28-10-1914 till the matter is finally disposed of. (5) The previous communication on the subject was submitted to the Assistant Secretary on the 14th ultimo. Submr in contn K.P. 16/6 As Submitted (in the main file) in which the question is exhaustively discussed, this portion of the order Vs recovery of a certain Amount from Mr Narayana Rao deserves re-Consideration Pending a final disposal of the Case the Comptroller may be asked to hold the order in abeyance (6) Draft of an ad-interim order put up for approval & thereafter Dy Secy) (7) Draft sig to offs The Asst Secy will kindly see that
Govt	

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—25 000—30-4 15

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

the case is disposed of — the matter should not be delayed further

by

(8) Issue cypher

(9) Issue to-day before 4 P M

To

4 The Dy Commr Mysore } No 1343 dt 8-7-15.
" " Chitaldrug }
" " Coimbatore }

Directs that the G.O. No 3376-82 Rev 279-12-6 dated the 28th Oct 1914 may be held in abeyance till Mr G. Narayana Rao representation is finally disposed of and enquires how long Mr Narayanarao occupied the Sayarkatte bungalow and if he paid any amount for the period of his occupation

Dy C

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jud File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No	
5	From the Deputy Commr Mysore Dt No. 102 dd 28 7 15 States that ~~the~~ Amildar Mr G. Narayana Rao occupied the Sayarkatte building at Hosadurga from the 10th April 1910 to 24th Dec 1913 both days inclusive and that he has not paid anything towards the rent (10) May the ~~submission~~ receipt of the Vernacular papers called for from the Dy Commr Chitaldrug Dt in Serial No 4 supra be awaited KP 3/8/15 Sd/-
6	The Assistant Commr in charge Chitaldrug Dt No 211 dated 1.11.15 Forwards the file of Vernacular papers relating to the improvement of the Sayarkatte at Hosdurga (12) These papers were called for as directed in para 3 supra The facts of the case have been discussed at length in the notes to No 279-12-8-1-7 Oct 1914 The Amildar Mr Narayanrao urges the reconsideration of the case The representation has been noted upon already in the notes above A perusal of the Vernacular records once more is deemed necessary ~~that~~ called for

NOTE—Contn sheet

KP 5/11/15

GPB—25 000—30 4 15

Serial No

(13) The question has been discussed at great length in all its aspects in the main file on a careful perusal of the present representation of Mr. G Narayanadas and the records in this case, there does not appear to be any good ground for modifying the order of Govt. already passed in this case

The three points which the appellant are 1 that the consent passed on him was not sanctioned as he had the Verbal authority of the Dt Collr for carrying out the additional work,

2, that as the money was due to no one but himself, the amount should not be ordered to be credited to Govt and

3 that the rent claimed is exorbitant and must be fixed by the Dt Council

(14) In support of this first contention he produces a copy of the letter written to him by Mr Chenga Reddy at his request. The letter cannot be held to be much value, considering that it was written long after the present order in question was passed and that the Amildar made a special report of it when he was ill

NOTE—Contn sheet

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

at Bangalore. In this Mr Chengiz chetty is not positive in his statement. He only says. "I am inclined to believe that I have permitted you to put up a Kitchen and Bath Room pending the immediate submission of an estimate for sanction," whereas when he ~~was~~ had not the records with him, and it was nearly 6 years ago that the incidents alleged to have taken place when the matter was fresh in his memory, he expressly refers to the ~~excavation~~ work as having been made without authority, and refused to pass the bill for more than Rs 239/- (Vide his Order No 439 of 15-11-10) If he had really sanctioned it verbally as now alleged, he would not have disallowed the items claimed and stated "ಅದು ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ಕೆಲಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದವರು ಯಾರೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಅಳತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣುವ ಅನೇಕ ಅನ್ಯಾಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರರಾಗಿರುವವರು ಯಾರೆಂದು" In his letter of the 5th commencement, Mr Chengiz Chetty refers to this very fact referred to above, and says " I do not recollect having spoken to the amildar about the further extension" Mr Jud. Narayana Iyengar and this authority quoted by Mr Narayana Rao is also against him Mr Narayana Iyengar

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 1

Serial No

to very positive and says "I remember perfectly well that I never sanctioned any repairs to Amildar's quarters"

Taking all the circumstances into consideration, it appears clear that the remarks were not unjustified, and require no modification

(15) As regards the Second point, the name of the contractor in question is mentioned as one Pullia. The Amildar has not produced any vouchers to show that he has paid the amount to the contractor. If the Amildar produces vouchers to show that the contractor was paid, and the Amildar need not pay anything. The order already passed gives sufficient scope for this and needs no material modification

I agree
[illegible]
6/1/16

(16) It seems unnecessary to consult the Municipal Council in regard to this and Patamu the property occupied by the Amildar was Govt. property which was handed over only recently to the Municipal Council. The rent fixed is in accordance with the standing orders of Govt, and as Mr Narayanarao himself has stated in his letter No 185 of 11.10.1909 to the Sub-D[illegible] there can be no objection that rent may be

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPD—70 000—17 12-15

12

[G R § 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No 276 of 1916-15

Serial No

Issued in accordance with standing orders, he has no reason to complain now

(17) In this connection the Auditor says that no objection was raised by the Secretariat authorities & that the Auditor's allegation is not correct Minister is invited to this Secretariat's order of 5.6.12

Submitted for orders

S 30-6-16

Sec (Ag) The annual leave rule for the Xmas holidays and after the case about the

The reference in the 1st case was made by Mr K Krishna Iyer and when I was in the S.L. only some explanation on certain points was furnished by me to Govt.

(2) From what the auditor says in his memorial to Govt. it may perhaps be desirable to hear his latest views on

[G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | hel File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

passed on it

2nd reminder

WT 6/1/16
Hon 6/1/16

(20) There is no need to give builders a hearing. See what he has to say for himself in case about his memorial & no particulars can be forthcoming. We have note of the WT has made all the points raised by the builders in his memorial. There is no reason why we should order about personal & after hearing all circumstances into consideration I must reject the memorial

8/1/16 Lees

WT 10/1/11

Draft Hon 10/1

(21) Draft put up for approval

KP 12/1/16

BE 18/1 Revised

Hon 21/1

I do not think that draft

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPS—20 000—80-6 15

(14

(I R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

(1) Draft may be approved

2nd Member
Secretary

for 24/1/16

Asst Secy

Secy
25/1/16

3912
27.1.16

for
26/1/16

(2) The Auditor's claim now is that he paid Rs 109 + Rs 132 from his pocket, and that he should not be asked again to deposit the money. The work was done more than 4 years ago and if any thing was still outstanding in it accounts demands would have been sent up before now. As there have apparently been no such demands, he may take it that no claim will come up hereafter. On this ground I am inclined to think that this part of

NOTE—Contn sheet

(15)

1663

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil. File No 276 of 1914-15

1912

Serial No

the order should be revers
Mr Amildar need not
deposit the money, and
Govt not paying for the add
ditional work is sufficient
penalty on the Amildar.

(4) In other respects, there
are no grounds for
reconsideration.

(5) If the 2nd member a-
grees to this view, a draft
order may be submitted
Otherwise place the matter
before the Dewan.

17/3/16

2nd Member 17/3/16

17/3

6 If the claim is time-barred,
he must not insist upon the Board
admitting the appeal. In such
cases the claim is to be
treated as time-barred, &c.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 1

(C R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

[illegible] as it stands. We must [illegible] further precautions & enquiries for against such claims

[illegible]

7/3

(1) Is the claim time barred? Let the point be cleared up

8/3/16

8/3

2) The case falls under item no 56 of the first schedule of the limitation act for which the period is 3 years It was in June 1912 that the Auditor urged the payment of the bill As three years have elapsed since then, the claim appears to be barred by limitation unless some body has since claimed the amount and the application has been acknowledged in which case further limitation accrues under sec 19 of the Limitation Act It has therefore to be ascertained from the Deputy Commr Chitaldrug whether any claim has been preferred by anyone and if so, the date when the application has been acknowledged or signed in the office of the Auditor & forwarding on the by Commissioner.

[illegible]

9.3.16

70 17131

17

S 304/15

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 276 of 1914—15

Serial No 29

Drafts putup pr order

KL (30) Kind attention is invited to the routine 9/3c(3)e 10/3 notes below - Govt does not generally plead limitation in claims against it, as it is derogatory to its position as Sovereign power Addl to Ans. 16/3

Secy

31) The draft Orders have been passed by the Addl Secy. owing to my having gone on leave, they have been delay in passing at KVT 16/4/16

32) Despatch Ans 17/4

To

7 The Dy Commr Chitaldrug Dt No 7853/204
Enquires of any application claiming the balance of work done to the Sayarkatte building at Hosdurga was received and if so how the same was disposed of

7A From the Dy Commr Tumkur Dt No C 30 & 137 16
Forwards the further representation of Sri G Narayan Rao that the rent for the Sayarkatte building at Hosdurg during the period of his occupation be fixed Rs 5 instead of at Rs 8 per mensem

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70,000—17 12 15

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No. 276 of 1914-15

Serial No

33) The rent was fixed by Govt at 8x pm in accordance with rules contained in Govt P.N° 291-324 PWD 2169-8 dated 26th March 1908

Flag R

N° 3376-82
Rel 279-13-6
-0-1914

Flag A

34) Govt fixed the rent as the buildings then vested in them. It has since been handed over to the municipality

Subordinates

A

KP 20-7-16

35) The S.O. of 28th Oct 1914 explains the facts of the case & the order thereon —

BK 22/7

D

36) The S.O. of 8th July 1915 explains why the order has not been given effect to yet. —

37) A draft order directing recovery of amount of rent & calling upon the D.C. to report on the statements of the landlords that the Collector has paid the rent out of his pocket is submitted for approval

First Members —

KP 26/7-16

223/257

35) I do not see any reason to differ

JJ 26/7

39) I agree

KP 26/7-16

Note—Contn. sheet

(19

305

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 276 of 1914-15

Serial No 8

From The Dy Commr Chittagong Dt No C 12 16-17 Dated 19/7/16

Reports that no claims have been received in respect of the balance of the amount payable towards the adjustment of accounts in connection with the improvement of the Sayerkatta building at Hoodung executed at the instance of Dr. G Narayan

(40) The draft order approved for issue was not despatched since the report called for therein called for from the Dy Commr was received meanwhile. A fresh draft is submitted for approval

KP
28/7/16

(41) Both refer to paras 36 & 39 & revised draft is put up for appl - Subject to appl a provision is made that Dr Narayan Rao shd indemnify Govt agt all future claims -

Rev. Secy)
V.P. Member) Draft for approval.

KP 29/7/16.

Approved G S
29/7/16

KP 29/7/16

KP
2/8-16

357
317

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 1

20

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jud File No. 27/5 of 191 6

Serial No. 9

To

The Dy Comm Chitaldrug Dt. — No. 1075-9
— Do — Tumkur Dt — of 4.5.16
" — Mysore Dt
The Revenue Comm
" Comptroller

Directs that rent at the rate of Rs 8 per measm should be recovered from Rao G Narayanrao for the period of his occupation of the Sanyasi Matte building at Hosadurga and that the cost of extra work done to the building need not be recovered from him as laid down in para 2 of the order of the 28th Oct 1914.

NOTE—Contn sheet

CPB-

Enclosure D 6892
Ref 270 14-1

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District
Dated, Mysore, 3rd May 1915

A.Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

D.N.Narasinga Rao, Esquire , B.A., B.L ,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

With reference to Government Order No 3376-82 Ml 279-12-6 ted 28th October 1914 passing orders on the conduct of Mr G Rao, Amildar of Yedatore Taluk in the matter of having ted certain repairs to the Old Sayarkatte building and to Kitchen attached to the Travellers' Bungalow at Nosadurga, he was Amildar of that Taluk, I have the honor to submit th a communication from Mr G Narayana Rao, Amildar of Yetore explaining the circumstances under which repairs of the d Sayarkatte were undertaken This contains statements which ly seem to be admissions of irregularities, but it is clear a sum of Rs 242-2-4 is not recoverable from Mr Narayana and that work to the extent of Rs 239-2-5 and 132-8-0 was under the oral orders of the then Deputy Commissioner Fur- , the recovery of money has been ordered when there is no con- tor to claim it. Whether Mr. Narayana Rao is to get back the le or part of the rupees 132-8-0 which he claims, is the point be decided Whether in the event of the whole or part of this

G.P.S.—100,000—29-7-14

1916 – 15

From D.O. Lutz Commission
Bangalore

To The Secretary to Govt
Genl & Rev Departmt
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 3 Nov 1915
Received

General No 857
No

Enclosure No. One

Order passed on the
Report of Dewan Narayana
Rao, Amildar of Yedatore
in the matter of heavy
assessment certain ryots
of the Bangalore
Taluk

this amount being disallowed , an equitable deduction out of the rent should not be made has also to be settled.

I have the honor to be,

Sir, .

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner, •

Mysore District.

Encto ml276-14/

M Y S O R E,

25th February 1915

From,

G Narayen Rao,

Amildar, Yedatore Taluk

To,

D M Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B A , B L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness The Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

B A N G A L O R E

Thro'

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

Sir,

I humbly beg to submit the following representation for a kind reconsideration of the order passed in Government Proceedings No 3376-82 Ml 279-12-6 dated 28th October 1914 in the matter of the adjustment of expenditure incurred by me as Amildar of Hosadurga Taluk for effecting certain repairs to the Old"Sayer Katte" and the Kitchen attached to the Old Travellers Bungalow there with a view to convert them into quarters for the Amildar

2 The order, while holding me guilty of grave irregularities and reprimanding me therefor directs -

(1) That I carried out improvements and repairs much in excess of the sanctioned estimate

estimate of Rs 250/-

(2) That the Deputy Commissioner authorised half the amount disallowed viz, Rs 66-4-0 being paid and directed the other half to be recovered from the Amildar as his statement that the sanctioned estimate was exceeded under the oral orders of the Deputy Commissioner was <u>not</u> corroborated

(3) That notwithstanding the above orders, the Amildar caused certain other repairs to be made and reported that the total cost came to Rs 481-4-9*, and that a Cheque might be issued atleast for Rs 132-8 as this represented about half the amount actually due to the Contractor

It is further directed in the said proceedings that the order of Mr Chandy authorising payment of Rs 66-4-0 from Government funds be cancelled and the entire balance of Rs 242-?-4 - pending adjustment recovered from the Amildar and held in deposit pending a claim thereto to be established to the satisfaction of the Deputy Commissioner by a <u>bona fide</u> contractor

The order further directs

tl e

* This sum evidently appears to comprise of the following items -

Rs 239-2-5	expenditure actually incurred out of the sanctioned estimate of Rs 250/-
Rs 132-8-0	Amount covered by the second estimate concerning which the Deputy Commissioner Mr Chandy stated that he would pay a moiety
Rs 109-10-4	Spent on Privy, Stables &c, and met out of my own pocket without advancing any claim whatsoever therefor
Rs 481- 4-9	

the recovery of a rent at Rs 8/- per mensem for occupying the building from 10th April 1910

(4) The above are the main points touched upon in the Government order, and as some of them appear to me to be somewhat inconsistant with what actually transpired, I feel it necessary to very briefly explain the facts as they occured:-

(1) That I carried out improvements and repairs much in excess of the sanctioned estimate of Rs 250/-

Taking the 1st item I respectfully beg to submit that I have not carried out any work in excess of the sanctioned estimate of Rs 250/- On the other hand, on the items covered by this estimate, only Rs 239-2-5 were actually spent and the balance saved and credited, to Municipal funds

(2) &(3) That the Deputy Commissioner authorised half the amount disallowed viz, Rs 66-4-0, being paid and directed the other half to be recovered from the Amildar as his statement that the sanctioned estimate was exceeded under the oral orders of the Deputy Commissioner, was not corroborated

3 That not-withstanding the above orders the Amildar caused certain other repairs to be made and reported that the total cost came up to Rs 481-4-9

Refering to Items 2 & 3 I beg to explain that there appears to be some inaccuracy herein After the first estimate for Rs 250/- was sanctioned and the work was in progress, Mr Changiah Chetty inspected the place and after some further discussion and consideration, verbally directed me to add a kitchen and a bath room block at a cost limited to Rs 150/- He further directed me to push on with the work at once and

send up an estimate in due course This work was accordingly taken in hand and an estimate sent up The sum of Rs.-132-8-0 now under discussion - refers to the additional item of work The estimate submitted however remained unsanctioned for want of funds at the credit of this poor Municipality, till Mr Changiah Chetty left the District on retirement

After Mr Chandy took charge of the District, he caused an enquiry to be made as to whether I would be willing to meet half the cost myself if the other half viz, 66-4-0 was paid out of the allotment for repairs "Civil Buoldings" In reply, I explained in detail how, in addition to the sum of Rs 132-8 due to me I have incurred other items of expenditure also, such as, putting up a privy, a temporary stable, &c , and that the total cost on the work had risen up to something like Rs 481-4-9 and that it would subject me to a great loss if the full amount of even Rs 132-8-0 was not paid I clearly reported in submitting this that I did not put forward any sort of claim to the extra expenditure incurred by myself on account of privy, stable &c , which roughly amounted to Rs 110/-

3 Here I may respectfully submit that no definite orders were issued by the Deputy Commissioner authorising only half or Rs. 66-4-0 to be paid, and directing that the other half be recovered from me nor was a cheque issued for the payment of Rs 66-4-0 (In fact no such cheque has issued till I left the Taluk)

4 With reference to the observation that the Amildar's statement that work in connection with the second estimate was started under the oral orders of the Deputy Commissioner was not corroborated, I beg to submit that when I was lately at Bangalore for -- treatment on account of Malaria of my family and myself I took the opportunity of writing to Mr Changiah Chetty on this point and in his reply to me dated the 22nd of February,1915, a copy of which I herein enclose for the kind information of Government, this officer has admitted the fact of his having given me permission to put up a Kitchen and Bath Room in addition to the other improvements previously sanctioned

5 I can produce no better or more satisfactory evidence in support of the fact that I had the authority of the Deputy Commissioner (Mr Changiah Chetty) to start the additional works In such circumstances, the statement that notwithstanding the Deputy Commissioner's orders that half the amount should be recovered from me, I caused other repairs to be made, goes without any support and seems to call for a reconsideration For the same reason that portion of the order which directs a cancellation of the orders of Mr Chandy authorising payment of Rs 66-4-0 from Government funds also seem to require a modification

6 Adverting to the order which directs the recovery of Rs 242-2-4 from me and its being kept in deposit pending a claim to be established by a <u>bona fide</u> contractor, I respectfully beg to submit that this amount apparently includes in addition to the sum of Rs 132-8-0 spent on the kitchen - and bath room now under discussion, also Rs 109/- and odd spent by me personally for the privy and stable &c as regards the latter I have for reasons already explained -

not made any claim more particulary because the main items covered by the supplemental estimate itself remained undisposed I have met this sum from my personal funds and there is no need for its recovery a second time Similarly in the case of Rs 132-8-0, the claims of the workmen, who would not wait for years had to be complied with, and I have paid this sum also from my pockets/ For these reasons the order directing the recovery of this amount from me a second time seems to require a revision

7 Lastly as regards the rent ordered to be recovered from me for occupying the building, I beg to submit, that the sum of Rs 8/- per mensem charged is excessive To the best of my recollection, the Municipal Council had fixed only a rent of Rs 4 or 5/- If necessary, the Municipal Council may be further consulted in the matter, and any suitable rent decided upon by them levied Rs 8/-, I submit is too much, considering the quality and the limited dimension of the building

8 Having now dealt with the points referred to in Government order under reference, I beg your kind indulgence to submit a few aspects of a general character

9 In passing the orders under consideration I have not been afforded an opportunity of explaining myself before the same was passed

10 The main objection taken is that I started the work without a sanctioned estimate and written orders, but I beg to submit that I have not erred in obeying the clear orders of my superior officer the Deputy Commissioner (Lt Changiah Chetty) who told me both with regard to the first and supplemental estimates that I may go on with the works at once pending formal sanction later on That this ---

statement is true is established beyond doubt from the copy of Mr. Changiah Chetty's letter to me dated 22nd instant herewith submitted

11 Again if I had stopped the work after the items covered by the 1st estimate had been completed and awaited written sanction for the second or supplemental estimate, the building would not have been of any use whatever and would perhaps have continued to remain unoccupied and - neglected

12 Again the building in question either before or after its improvement will be no personal property of mine I have no self interest in seeing to its improvements or making additions to it From the very commencement, the idea of securing some sort of permanent good has been my only aim and there has not been the least thought of any personal gain throughout the whole transactions As it is, the building is now a valuable asset to the Local Municipality as observed by Mr Changiah Chetty himself and though for the present that Government have paid only - Rs 239-2-5 for it, its actual value comes to something like Rs 1,600/- according to D P W estimate and this is due more or less to the personal attention and supervision bestowed on the work, the great economy exercised and to the extra amounts spent on it from my personal funds as already explained for supplying the remaining most immediate needs

13 I am a public servant of 29 years standing with 12 years service as Amildar and have worked in various - Talukas and under various officers. During this long period there has not been even a single occasion when I was found fault with and on the other hand I have tried to discharge my duties to the satisfaction of my superiors all through,

and Government have also spoken in appreciative terms of some of my works It is therefore all the more painful that I should be thus punished, for no fault of mine, in addition to a severe censure with a fine to the extent of nearly Rs 600/- -- all this without being given an opportunity of explaining myself or even having my say

14 In these circumstances, I humbly pray that all the papers connected with the case may kindly be perused once again and justice shown to me

15 I further beg that the order directing the recovery of this amount be kindly deferred till final orders are passed on this further representation

16 I may add that with a view to furnish accurate information I solicited the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District to afford me an opportunity of having a look at the original correspondence, but to my misfortune, I have received neither an affirmative nor a negative reply This accounts partly to the delay in submitting this representation

17 In thus approaching Government I humbly pray that the orders now passed reprimanding me and directing me to pay the heavy penalty be reconsidered and that the excessive rent proposed may also be suitably reduced and that justice be shown to me

18 For which act of kindness I shall feel highly grateful

I beg to remain,
Sir
Your most obedient servant
G. Ramayenkar
Amildar
Yedatore Taluk

22-2-1915

My Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 9th instant and the personal explanation as to what had occurred in the year 1910, when I visited Hosadrug, I am inclined to believe that I have permitted you to put up a Kitchen and a Bath Room pending the immediate submission of an estimate for sanction in addition to the other improvements which had been previously sanctioned to convert the old and dilapidated buildings known as Sayar Katte and Kitchen attached to the Old Travellers' Bungalow at Hosadrug

If I remember right, you were then putting up temporarily in Nanjappa's Chattram which I considered was undesirable

By converting these buildings which were going to ruins, into Amildar's quarters which was badly needed, the Municipality was to get a permanent Monthly income for the investment of its funds All these considerations seem to have influenced me to permit you to push on with the work pending sanction to the estimate which you were asked to submit at once

Yours sincerely,
Sd. M Changiah Chetty
(Rtd Deputy Commissioner)

To,

Mr G Narayana Rao,

AMILDAR

"Copy"
G Narayana Rao
Amildar

132

941
Revd 27-6-14-2

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 11th June 1915.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 857 of the 3rd ultimo submitting a communication received from Mr. G. Narayana Rao, Amildar of Yedatore Taluk explaining the circumstances under which the repairs of the old Sagar-matte at Hodadurga were undertaken and praying for reconsideration of Government Order No.3376-82-Rl.279-12-6 dated 28-10-1914 directing the recovery of certain amount, I have the honor to submit herewith copies of docket No. 8945/S.A.758 dated 4-6-1915 from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore to Mr. G.Narayana Rao, Amildar Yedatore Taluk - and of report No. 536 dated 7-6-1915 received by me from the latter and to request that the Comptroller may be kindly asked to keep in abeyance the above Government Order till the matter is finally disposed of.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

GPE—100,000—29-7 14

191

From The Deputy-Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore
Gen & Rev Depts

Dated

Despatched 11. 6. 15

Received

General No 1706

No

Enclosure No 2

Explains the circumstances
under which the rehours of
the old Sagarkatte and
Hosahalya have undertaken

(23

Encl to ML 276-14-2

Copy of docket No. 8945/S.A.758 dated the 4th June 1915, from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, to G. Narayana Rao, Esq Amildar Yedatore Taluk.

"With reference to his petition of the 15th January 1915 requesting permission to pay at Rs.25/- per mensem the sum of Rs. -- 597-15-0 ordered to be recovered from him in Government Order No. 3376-82/Ml. 279-12-6 dated the 28th October 1914, and refunded to the Municipal Funds of the Hosadurga Municipality, has the honor to request that he will be so good as to pay at once in one lump sum into the Yedatore Taluk Treasury the sum of Rs 597-15-0 less any - amount he might have up till now paid therefor at Rs. 25/- a month

The whole amount should be credited towards the Municipal Fund of the Hosadurga Municipality and the fact of his having done so - should be intimated both to this Office and to the President of the Municipal Boards, Chitaldrug, through the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department, Mysore,.

If he fails to pay the amount in question as aforesaid within the 15th of this month, his full pay will be with-held until the recovery is complete "

" C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

(34

Encto dtd 276-14-2

Copy of report No. 536 dated 7-6-1915, from the Amildar, Yedatore Taluk, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

"Respectfully submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in original with an humble request that he will be graciously pleased to recommend my unfortunate case to the Comptroller to kindly hold this order in abeyance till the Government are pleased to pass their final orders on my recent representation submitted through your good-self, for reconsideration of the order passed in Government Proceedings No. 3376-82/M L. 279-12-6 dated 28th October last.

For which act of kindness shall ever pray "

" C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

(35

Fin 6.5
1.5

GRS 28]

File No 276-14
Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )

, *the* 191

READ—

Letter Nos 857 dated 3d May '15, and 1000 dated 11th June '15 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, Submitting for the orders of Govt, a representation from Mr G Narayana Rao, Amildar Yedatore, for requesting a Reconsideration, of the orders passed in G O No 3376-82/ ML 279-12-6 dated the 28th Oct 14

Date of issue 8/7/15

Signed by Woods Ramachandra Rao

ORDER THEREON —No

over

SUBJECT

GPB—50 000—1 12-12

in the light of the Circumstances
now explained by him, and
recommending that till the
matter is finally disposed of,
the Comptroller may be asked
to keep in abeyance the portion
of the order which directs the
recovery of a certain Amount
from the Amildar, as it is
not due to any Contractor.

Order thereon 131-3 mil v 76-14-4 7.8.15

In the Circumstances
explained, Govt are pleased
to approve of the recommendation
made by the Deputy Commissioner
who is requested to ascertain
~~from the Naragund Dess~~ and
report how long the ex
Mr Naragund has occupied
the building in question,
what is the total amount
due from him, and whether he
has already paid any, & if
so what portion of this amount

(37)

G R S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Amount

2 The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District is requested to Re-Submit the records which were forwarded to him with the G O Sought to be reviewed for reference, and a final disposal of the Case

Mr 1.7 For Off 9 Sec 4 11/11

To

1. The Deputy Comm Nagar District
2. The Deputy Comm Chitaldrug District
3. The Comptroller

GPB—20 000—7-7 14

D 402 — 5
file 1 276-14

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 28th July 1915

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire , B.A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

V.R Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire., M.A ,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No 131-13/Ml.275-14 dated 3-7-1915 requesting to ascertain and report how long Mr G. Narayana Rao, Amildar, Yedatore, occupied the building provided for Amildars' Quarters at Hosadurga when he was in the latter Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith in original Mr Narayana Rao's letter No 42 dated 20-7-1915 reporting in detail on the points referred to in para 1 of the Government Order under advertance It may be seen therefrom that Mr Narayana Rao occupied the building from 10th April 1910 to 24th December 1913, both days inclusive and that he has not paid anything till now on account of the use of the said building

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

PB—100,000—29-7 14

F.17/31/Mys 191

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

To The Resident in the State of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 29-7 15 New Delhi

Received

General No 162

No

Enclosure No 1

Sahukar Kali Das Gupta Narayana Rao, Amildar Gundlupet occupies the bungalow provided for Amildars' quarters at Gundlupet from 16th April 1910 to 24th June 1915.

(39)

Encl to Ind 276-14-5

Fdes 393
23-7-15.

No. 42.

From.

7-15
Sir
9/07/Mys

Mr. G Narayana Rao

Amildar Yedatore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir -

Adverting to your memo
No. S-03 dated 17th instant,
I beg to submit with refere...

to para I of Government order
no 131-3 dated 2.7.6-14 & 8th
idem regarding the rent
due by me to Hosanna
Maildar's quarters, that I
lived in that building from
10th April 1910 to 24th December
1913 both days inclusive. As the
deputy commissioner of Shillong
district had not fixed the rent
on the building so long I stayed
there I did not pay anything
towards it.

I humbly beg leave to add
that the rent now fixed by
Government vizRs 8/- per annum

appears to be exorbitant considering the nature, accommodation and value of the building in question.

The municipal members had resolved that a rent of Rs 4/- per mensem may be levied

I therefore pray that the point also deserves kind re-consideration.

I beg to remain
Sir
your most obedient servant
G. Narayanrao
Municipal Member

Dt 20-7-15
Yeotmal

42

D 1663
Mul 276-14-6

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug, 1st November 1915

Or

S. Narayana Rao Esquire,
Assistant Commissioner in charge
C h i t a l d r u g District

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department Bangalore

I R,

Adverting to para 2 of Government order No 131-3/Ml. 6-14- dated 8th July 1915 and also to your D. O No 3204/Ml 6-14 of the 29th October 1915, I have the honor to submit rewith the file of Vernacular papers as per ferist relating the improvement of the Sayerkatte at Hosdrug

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,
S Narayana Rao
Assistant Commissioner
in charge,
Chitaldrug District

S.V.

Ans

GIPB—52,000—23-9-18

IV

19 24 HS

From

The Assistant Commissioner,
in Charge of Control drug Dist.

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 18th November 1918.

Received

General No A= 211
No

Enclosure No 1 file.

Submitting the file of
Vernacular papers relating
to improvement of Kaya Kan
at Kosalung

H/2

S 304/15+

143

GRS 26] 17/14

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

Section Mil

File No 276 Tee

Serial No 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 191

Cust file

No 7853

LB 2961/4-7

9/20/16

Date of issue 22/6/16

To The Dy Comm

Signed by M A V Ramanathan

Sir Chitaldrug Dt

In returning the file of papers connected with the improvements done to the Rd Sayerkatte building at Hosadurga under the supervision of the Amildar Mr G Narayanaiah while he was in charge of the taluk I am directed to request you to be so good as to

over

44

Ascertain and report Whether
any application claiming
the balance of amount
due on account of work
done has ~~been~~ was received
since the work was closed
and if so whether and how the same
was disposed of or is yet pending, disposed
to be disposed of. Immediate report is
desired

Vol 16/A/16

From Secretary Wyork
16/3 Res Dept
Box

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20 000—14 2 14

16-7

D 208

Dwl 276-14-8-7A

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Dated, Tumkur, the 13th July 1916.

From

K Chandy, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To

D M. Narasinga Rao, Esqr , B.A ,B.L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore General Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

I have the honour to forward herewith the report dated 10th instant of Mr G Narayan Rao, Amildar of Pavagada regarding the adjustment of expenditure incurred by him as Amildar of Hosadurga Taluk, for effecting certain improvements to the old sayer katte of that place, with reference to Government Order No 3376-82/ L L 279-12-6 dated 28th November 1914

I have the honour to be
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
K Chandy
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

T.P.D

GFB—50,000—17 9-15

19

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

General Department, Bangalore.

Dated 13th July 1916

Despatched 15-

Received

General No. 30

No

Enclosure No 2

Forwards the report of Mr. G. Narayana Rao, Amildar of Pavagada re the adjustment of expenditure incurred by him, as Amildar of Hosadurga Taluk for effecting certain improvements to the old sayer katte of that place

Enc to ell 276-14-24.

Fwd. forwarded. From

Mr. G Narayana Rao
Amildar
Ravagada

Ack 16e 17/7/16

To

D M Narasinga Rao Esquire
B A B L
General Secretary to Government
of Mysore Bangalore.

Dated 10th July 1916

Sir,

With reference to Government order No 3376-82 Mscl 279-12-6 dated 28th October 1914, regarding the adjustment of expenditure incurred by me as Amildar of Hosadurga Taluk for effecting certain repairs to the old Sayer Kutte there, and in continuation of my humble representation dated 25th February 1915 submitted through the Deputy Commissioner Mysore & entered 1 March 1915, I beg leave to furth

6) I submit that in the order of Government referred to above, the house rent that I am directed to pay is that down to be 8 Rs per measem which I already submitted in my first representation, to be very high and the same may kindly be reduced to Rupees four which is the rate now levied as will be evident from the letter (~~Copy~~ herein enclosed) of Mrs Thomson the present Amildar of Honnavar Taluk

I humbly beg therefore that you will be good enough to kindly reconsider this matter also, along with my other prayer and reduced the rent to Rupees four as originally prayed for, before formal orders are passed on the Subject

For which act of kindness I shall ever pray

beg to remain

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

S Narayan Rao

Amildar

Ranagada

Hosanna W
7 2 45
Encl Ill 276-14 2A

Dear Mr Narayanrao

Many thanks for
your letter of 5th Instant
for Rs 100 occupying the
Annayankhana or Katte
opposite the Salem Office
Prior to my coming here
in July 45 it had been
settled in a Provisional
Meeting that the Building

4)
Which is municipal
property may be rented
to the Amish an abat-
ment of Rates 5 pence
per annum to Xmas
Day at this rate.
The building was white
washed before I entered
and I had to attend to
the roof here + there, the
rain nothing has been
done to the building

I believe since you left
this place. The out-
house came down in
the rains & I have had
the walk built up.

I am having a
Select Conference
Line on the 25th & 26
instant at which the
Dy Commr will preside
If it is possible for
you to visit this place
then or at any time

I shall be very glad
to hear you are
well
Yours sincerely
A M Lawson

Chitaldrug District

In Current 2 misc 40 for 1917 Dated Chitaldrug the 19th July 1916

27614—8

T. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug.

L. Krishna Rao Esquire
Offg Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department
Bangalore

With reference to your letter No 7853/-47 dated 20th April 1916 requesting to be whether there is any claim for the balance unit due on account of work done to the at Hosdrug etc, I have the honor to that no application has been received in the either in this office or in that of the Amildar Hosdrug taluk from the closing of the work

The Amildar who was asked to make special in the matter reports that there are no - who demand the balance amount of the ... The Vernacular correspondence ... dated 17th May 1916 of the ... is herewith returned

I have ... obedient Servant
T. S. Balasundaram
Proby. Assistant Commissioner
for Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Cabent from HQ

GPE—50,000—25-9-15

1916

From The Deputy Commissioner Charlebury District

To The Secretary to Government Revenue Department Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 21 7 16

Received

General No Reference C no 12 mul (d) 1917

No

Enclosure No. Vernacular file

Stating that there are no petitions pending in this office pleading the payment of the balance of the cost of the repairs done to Sangarkal Hindoo by Mr G Narayan R

53

GRS 28]

Recovery of certain amounts from Amildar Sri G Narayanaiah towards the rent of and improvements the Sayar Kattu at Hosdurga

File No 276

Serial No 14

9

Diary No of letter replied to 305

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Rev)

Paper under Imperial 191

Date of issue 6 8 16.

Signed by Sri B Subba Rau

READ

Correspondence ending with letter no C-30/16-17 dated 13th July 1916 from the Dy Comr. Tumkur forwarding a representation from Sri G Narayanarao, Amildar Pavagada on the subject of the recovery ordered from him in GO 3376-82/Rev 279-13-6 dated 28th Oct 1914 of certain unauthorized expenditure incurred by him

ORDER THEREON No

A

over

SUBJECT

over the old Sayarkatte building at Hosadurga and of rent at Rs 8 per mensem for the period of his occupation of the building when he was at Hosadurga.

2) Letter no: C 12/16-17 ML 43 dated 19th July 1916 from the Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dt reporting that till now no Claimants have applied for the balance due for the extra work done to the Sayarkatte building + ~~since the work was closed~~. Papers under disposal

Order 1075-9 ML 276-14-9 Bg 4 8.16.

Govt are not prepared to modify the order of Oct 1914 A regarding the rate at which rent has to be recovered viz Rs 8 per mensem as it has been calculated at the rates fixed for occupation of Govt buildings as residential quarters by Govt officers. It is understood from Mr Narayana Rao's letter no 42 dated 20th July 19 to the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt that he occupied the building from 10th April 1910 to 24th Dec 1913 both days inclusive, without paying rent for the period D

G R S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Continuation sheet draft form)

The amount of rent due for the period will be accordingly recovered from him and

2) As regards the recovery from Mr G Narayan Rao of the Sum of Rs 242-2-4 towards the adjustment of final accounts Concerning the work it is represented by him that the amount is due to no party other than himself as he paid it from his pocket and that it would be a serious loss to him to again pay the amount. The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug Dt now reports that no claims for the amount have been received till now. Under the circumstances Government observe that the claims if any to the amount are barred by limitation Government are pleased to and therefore direct

Subject to approval

GPB—20 000—7 7 14

~~in no dispatched dt~~

that the said sum of Rs 242-2-4
need not be recovered from Mr
G. Narayana Rao, as laid
down in para 2 of the order
of the 28th Oct 1914 ~~on the~~

Mr Narain Rao has likewise
be called upon to execute a bond
in favour of Govt undertaking to forgo against further claim
on this account.

Offg Secretary to Govt 29/12/16

Rev Depts

To the Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dt returning the Memo
" Dy Commr, Tumkur Dt for Communication to
" Rev Commr Mr G. Narayana Rao

Dy Commr Bellary Dt with reference to his letter No 9A

Comptroller with reference to correspondence ending with
his letter No 473/SA 45 of 18 7 16